

**2008 - 2011 SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS
PUBLIC LAW 110-343
TITLE II PROJECT SUBMISSION FORM
USDA FOREST SERVICE**

Name of Resource Advisory Committee:
Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official):
Funding Fiscal Year(s):

2. Project Name: Douglas District Cattleguards	3a. State: Arizona 3b. County(s): Cochise
4. Project Submitted By: Joseph Harris, USFS	5. Date: January 21, 2011
6. Contact Phone Number: (520) 364-6821	7. Contact E-mail: josephharris@fs.fed.us

8. Project Location: District wide (Chiricahua, Peloncillo and Dragoon Mountains)	
a. National Forest(s): Coronado Natl. Forest	b. Forest Service District: Douglas Ranger District
c. Location (Township-Range-Section) District Wide	

<p>9. Project Goals and Objectives: To purchase cattleguards and bases that would be installed in various locations across the Ranger District. Locations where vehicular traffic causes conflict with livestock management in the form of gates being left in the wrong position (open when they should be shut, for instance). This would minimize the impacts to the permittee and rangeland, keeping cattle distributed where they should be and lessening the workload of returning those cattle to their correct pasture. The installation of cattleguards would also decrease the inconvenience to motorists, allowing passage through pasture fences without having to exit their vehicles to open gates.</p>
--

<p>10. Project Description:</p> <p>a. Brief: (<i>in one sentence</i>) Obtain funding necessary to purchase cattleguards and bases to install as necessary across the Douglas Ranger District.</p> <p>b. Detailed: Due to increased vehicular traffic in some areas across the Douglas Ranger district, many gates are being left in undesirable positions for the livestock owner (open gates being shut, and closed gates being left open). This allows the livestock to move into pastures they aren't supposed to be in, creating more work for the rancher. This also can create dangerous situations for the livestock when they drift into a pasture without water and get trapped there. Also, when gates are left open onto roadways, motorists can be in danger of collision as well. Cattleguards would increase motorist convenience as well, since getting out of their vehicles to open or close gates would no longer be necessary.</p>
--

11. Types of Lands Involved? US Forest Service land
State/Private/Other lands involved? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Land Status:
If Yes, specify:

12. How does the proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? (Check at least 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Restores and improves land health.
<input type="checkbox"/> Restores water quality

13. Project Type	
a. Check all that apply: (check at least 1)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance
<input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): replacing gates with cattleguards	
<input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement	<input type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement
<input type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Maintenance	<input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration
<input type="checkbox"/> Fish Habitat Restoration	<input type="checkbox"/> Control of Noxious Weeds
<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish Native Species	<input type="checkbox"/> Fuels Management/Fire Prevention
<input type="checkbox"/> Implement CWPP Project	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Project Type (specify):
b. Primary Purpose (select only 1): Existing infrastructure maintenance	

14. Identify What the Project Will Accomplish
Miles of road maintained:
Miles of road decommissioned/obliterated:
Number of structures maintained/improved: Several (approx. 10) cattleguards placed on various road/fence crossings on the Douglas Ranger District
Acres of soil productivity improved:
Miles of stream/river restored/improved:
Miles of fish habitat restored/improved:
Acres of native species reestablished:
Acres of hazardous fuel treatment
Miles of trail maintained:
Miles of trial obliterated:
Acres of forest health improved (including fuels reduction):

Acres of rangeland improved:
Acres of wildlife habitat restored/improved:
Acres of noxious weeds controlled:
Timber volume generated (mbf):
Jobs generated in full time equivalents (FTE) to nearest tenth. One FTE is 52 forty hour weeks:
People reached (for environmental education projects/fire prevention):
Direct economic activity benefit: Use of local heavy equipment operators to complete the work, as well as improving livestock operations
Other:

15. Estimated Project Start Date: 03/01/2011	16. Estimated Project Completion Date: 07/15/2012
--	---

17. List known partnerships or collaborative opportunities: Permittees on the various allotments will be asked to contribute labor and/or some fence materials to assist in the installation of the cattleguards.

18. Identify benefits to communities: Improve livestock distribution thereby increasing rangeland health, provide job opportunities for local heavy equipment operators, increase safety to livestock and motorists, and provide convenience to motorists by not having to open gates.

19. How does the project benefit federal lands/resources? By increasing distribution/rangeland health, increasing convenience to motorists and creating a safer environment for livestock/motorists.

20. What is the Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment? (check at least 1)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce
<input type="checkbox"/> County Workforce	<input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers
<input type="checkbox"/> Grant	<input type="checkbox"/> Agreement
<input type="checkbox"/> Americorps	<input type="checkbox"/> YCC/CCC Crews
<input type="checkbox"/> Job Corps	<input type="checkbox"/> Stewardship Contract
<input type="checkbox"/> Merchantable Timber Pilot	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): Permittees or other local heavy equipment operators

21. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Timber? ☐ Yes ☒ No

22. Anticipated Project Costs
a. Title II Funds Requested: \$55,000.00
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

23. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding: Federally allocated funding to oversee operations, provide heavy equipment where applicable or necessary and participate in the installation, as well as permittee funding to assist with labor and heavy equipment costs

24. Monitoring Plan (provide as attachment)

- a. Provide a plan that describes your process for tracking and explaining the effects of this project on your environmental and community goals outlined above:

Initially, an inspection will be made to determine if the cattleguard is needed in a certain location. During installation, inspections will also be made to determine if the work done was completed according to the specifications. Following that, annual inspections are made of all structural range improvements to determine their condition and functionality.

- b. Identify who will conduct the monitoring:

Forest Service personnel will conduct the monitoring of the structures themselves and the rangeland surrounding them on a yearly basis in concurrence with grazing allotment inspections.

- c. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Worksheet 1, Item k):

See below

25. Identify remedies for failure to comply with the terms of the agreement.

If project cannot be completed under the terms of this agreement:

☒ Unused funds will be returned to the RAC account.

☐ Other, please explain:

Project Recommended By:

/s/ (*INSERT Signature*)

Chairperson

Resource Advisory Committee

Project Approved By:

/s/ (*INSERT Signature*)

Forest Supervisor

National Forest

Project Cost Analysis Worksheet

Worksheet 1

Please submit this worksheet with your proposal

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution	Column B Requested Title II Contribution	Column C Other Contributions	Column D Total Available Funds
a. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$3,000			\$3,000
b. NEPA/CEQA	Not req'd.			
c. ESA Consultation	N/A			
d. Permit Acquisition	N/A			
e. Project Design & Engineering	\$3,000			\$3,000
f. Contract/Grant Preparation	\$300			\$300
g. Contract/Grant Administration	\$750			\$750
h. Contract/Grant Cost	N/A			
i. Salaries	N/A			
j. Materials & Supplies	\$2,500	\$55,000		\$57,500
k. Monitoring	\$3,000			\$3,000
l. Other				
1.				
2. Partner Indirect Cost				
m. Project Sub-Total		\$55,000		\$67,550
n. FS Indirect Costs	\$12,500			\$12,500
Total Cost Estimate	\$25,050	\$55,000		\$80,050

NOTES:

- a. Pre-NEPA Costs
- g. Includes Contracting/Grant Officer Representative (COR) costs. Excludes Contracting/Grant Officer costs.
- i. Cost of implementing project
- l. Examples include overhead charges from other partners, vehicles, equipment rentals, travel, etc.
- n. Forest Service indirect costs, including contracting/grant officer costs if needed.